November 16, 2017 Revised November 17, 2017 Revision 2 November 17, 2017



Mr. Miguel Detres Architecture Program Manager Saint-Gobain Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> 4540 Viewridge Avenue San Diego, CA 92123

 Subject:
 Dynamic Small-Scale Chamber Emissions Testing

 Revised Compliance Report per California Department of Public Health Standard

 Method Version 1.2

 Solar Gard® Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive

 MAS Project No.: 1701462

Dear Mr. Detres:

Materials Analytical Services, LLC (MAS) is pleased to submit this report with results of VOC testing from an application of Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive. This report was revised to reflect a change in your title and address.

This project was conducted in general accordance with the emission testing guidelines specified under ASTM D 5116 and the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) *Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.2.* This testing protocol was implemented to bracket a broad range of similarly formulated, lower emitting products under a single test.

Based on the test results, the Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive is compliant with the performance standards established for low-emitting materials under the CDPH, the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) and the LEED v4 programs. Qualified project uses of this product may be eligible for credit points under CHPS and LEED programs.

MAS is pleased to have been of service to you. If you have any questions or comments, or if we can be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

MAS, LLC

Manager, Emissions Group

Senior Analytical Chemist

Appendices: Appendix A – Chain-of-Custody Appendix B – Compliant and Bracketed Products Appendix C – General Testing Parameters and Data



MAS LLC - ATLANTA Corporate Headquarters 3945 Lakefield Court · Suwanee, GA 30024 (770) 866-3200 · Fax (770) 866-3259



### **EMISSIONS COMPLIANCE TEST**

California Dept. of Public Health Standard Method Version 1.2 Window Treatment Evaluation

## SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & TESTING PARAMETERS

Sample specifics as described in the chain-of-custody (see Appendix A) and a timeline of milestones dates relative to sampling and analysis are summarized below.

Product Name: Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive	MAS Assigned ID: 1701462		
Manufacturer: Solar Gard <sup>®</sup> 4540 Viewridge Avenue San Diego, CA 92123	<b>Product Description:</b> self-adhering window film with pressure sensitive adhesive backing		
Manufacture Date: October 10, 2017	<b>Testing Period:</b> Oct. 20 – Nov. 3, 2017		
Collection Date: October 10, 2017	<b>In-Chamber Sampling Dates:</b> Oct. 31 @ 24 hrs.; Nov. 1 @ 48 hrs.; Nov. 3 @ 96 hrs.		
Shipping Date: October 13, 2017	<b>Date of Sample Analysis:</b> Nov. 11 – 15, 2017		
Laboratory Arrival Date: Oct. 16, 2017	Age of Sample at Testing: 10 days		



Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive as tested

The sample was prepared for testing by cutting a six inch by six inch piece of the window film from the submitted roll, removing the plastic to expose the adhesive backing, and adhering the sample to a 6" x 6" glass plate. Immediately following preparation, the glass plate was placed inside one of MAS's small-scale emissions chambers beneath a ceiling-mounted fan to facilitate even air circulation around the sample.

Sample conditioning, collection of samples, and analysis of compounds of interest were conducted in accordance with ASTM D5116 Standard Guide for Small-Scale Environmental Chamber Determinations of Organic Emissions from Indoor Materials/Products, and the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.2, for comparison to the Leadership in Energy



and Environmental Design (LEED) standard, and the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) criteria for low emitting materials. General testing parameters and data are presented in Appendix C.

#### **TEST RESULTS**

To compare the chamber-derived data to the standards established under CDPH Standard Method and the CHPS criteria an emission factor for the tested sample is calculated based on the 96 hour test point data following ten days of in-chamber conditioning. This emission factor is used to predict airborne concentrations of target compounds in a CDPH-defined classroom with a total window surface area of 4.46 square meters, and a typical private office with a total window surface area of 1.49 square meters. For purposes of this report, a typical application was assumed to be the sum of the total window surface area. The results of the modeled data are presented in Table I.

VOC Name	Calculated Emission Factor	Predicted Airborne Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )*		Maximum Concentration	Testing
	(µg/m <sup>2</sup> hr)	Classroom	Private Office	Limits (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Comment
Total VOCs (TVOC)	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	NA	NA
Formaldehyde <sup>1,2</sup>	<3.2	< 0.076	<0.23	9	Compliant
Acetaldehyde <sup>1,2</sup>	<4.3	<0.10	<0.31	70	Compliant
Isopropanol	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	3500	Compliant
1,1-dichloroethylene	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	35	Compliant
Methylene chloride <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	200	Compliant
Carbon disulfide <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	400	Compliant
MTBE <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	4000	Compliant
Vinyl acetate <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	100	Compliant
Hexane <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	3500	Compliant
Chloroform <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	150	Compliant
2-methoxyethanol <sup>1</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	30	Compliant
1,1,1-trichloroethane <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	500	Compliant
Benzene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	1.5	Compliant
1-methoxy-2-propanol	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	3500	Compliant
Carbon tetrachloride <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	20	Compliant
Ethylene glycol <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	200	Compliant
1,4-dioxane <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	1500	Compliant
Trichloroethylene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	300	Compliant
Epichlorohydrin <sup>1,2</sup>	<1.5	< 0.036	<0.11	1.5	Compliant
2-ethoxyethanol <sup>1</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	35	Compliant
n,n-dimethylformamide <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	40	Compliant
Toluene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	< 0.21	150	Compliant

 Table I

 Comparison of Emission Factors and Predicted 96-Hour Airborne Concentrations from the Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive in Typical Building Environments



2-methoxyethanol acetate <sup>1</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	45	Compliant
Tetrachloroethylene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	17.5	Compliant
Chlorobenzene <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	500	Compliant
Ethylbenzene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	1000	Compliant
m & p-xylene <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	350	Compliant
Styrene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	450	Compliant
2-ethoxyethyl acetate <sup>1</sup>	<2.9	< 0.069	<0.21	150	Compliant
o-xylene <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	350	Compliant
Phenol <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	100	Compliant
1,4-dichlorobenzene <sup>1,2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	400	Compliant
Isophorone <sup>2</sup>	<2.9	<0.069	<0.21	1000	Compliant
Naphthalene <sup>1,2</sup>	<1.5	< 0.036	<0.11	4.5	Compliant

\* Assumes a 24' x 40' x 8.5' classroom with a ventilation rate of 0.82  $h^{-1}$  and a 10' x 12' x 9' private office with a ventilation rate of 0.68  $h^{-1}$  as defined by CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method V.1.2

1 Compound included on Cal/EPA OEHHA Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65)

2 Compound included on Cal/EPA ARB list of Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC)

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the emissions test data, MAS offers the following findings and conclusions:

- Predicted airborne concentrations of the CDPH Standard Method target compounds at the 14-day test point in both a classroom and private office setting are compliant with the maximum concentration limits.
- By virtue of compliance with the CDPH Standard Method, the product is compliant with the performance standards established for low-emitting materials under the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) EQ7.1.6 for Ceiling and Wall Systems.
- By virtue of compliance with the CDPH Standard Method, the product is also compliant with the LEED v4 EQ Credit: Low-Emitting Materials general emissions evaluation criteria In accordance with LEED v4 reporting requirements, the estimated TVOC concentration is 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or less.

Qualified project uses of the Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive may be eligible for credit points under the CHPS and LEED programs.

Note: all data, including but not limited to raw instrument files, calibration fits, and quality control checks used to generate the test results are available to the client upon request.

### LIMITATIONS

This report is intended for the use of Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> only. If other parties wish to rely on this report, please contact MAS so an agreement on the terms and conditions for our services can be established prior to the use of this information. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Materials Analytical Services, LLC.

Emissions generally decay over time, and the representativeness of the analytical data reported is directly dependent upon the age and conditions under which the tested sample was received.



# APPENDIX A Chain-of-Custody



Materials Analytical Services LLC 3945 Lakefield Court Suwanee, Georgia 30024 Phone: 770-868-3200 Fax: 770-868-3259

Public Health Standard Method (section 01350) Emission Testing Chain-of-Custody

Client Information	Testing Specifications (per MAS) check appropriate test below
Company: Solar Gard®	R&D (custom): Specify Details
Street Address: 4540 Viewridge Avenue	24-hour Comparative R&D Test
City/State: San Diego, CA	72-hour Comparative R&D Test
Zip/Postal Code: 92123	X 14-day CDPH Compliance Test
Country: United States	
Contact Name: Miguel Detres	
Title: Technical Services Representative	
Phone Number: O 727-437-1025 M 813-760-9026	
Fax Number: 727-437-1001	Construction Details (as applicable)
Email Address: miguel.detres@saint-gobain.com	Covering Type: Fabric   (Primary Fiber type:), Vinyl   , Leather
	Plastic Type(s): Nylon
Manufacturer Information (if different than client)	Substrate Type(s): MDF
Company: Same as above	Outer Finish Type(s): Oil Base   , Water Base  , Catalyzed/Conversion Var  , Polyurethane  ,
City/State/Country:	Plastic Laminaten, Melamine n, UVn, Other n
Contact Name/Title:	Foam Type: Polyurethane   , Memory  , Latex  , Evlon  , High Reslience  , High Density
Phone Number:	Paint Type: Latex
Comula Dataila	Canadial Matters on Commonster from Manufacturer
Sample Details	Special Notes or Comments from Manufacturer:
Unique Sample ID (if applicable): Solar Gard Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesive	
Product Name & Catalog #: Solar Gard	
Product Type: Ceiling/Wall Panels , Flooring , Trim , Wall Paint , Wall Coverings ,	
Thermal Insulation D, Adhesives D, Ceiling Tiles D, Other X	
Date of Product Manufacturing Completion: 10-10-2017	Laboratory Receipt (to be completed by Laboratory Representative)
Sample Location: Factory D, Warehouse D, Production Stack/Roll X, Container D	Received By: WThorpe
Sample Submitted by: Miguel Detres	Received Date: 10-16-17
Date of Sample Shipment : 10-12-2017 / () - / 3 - 20/ 7	Condition of Shipping Package: 12
Number of Boxes or Pallets: 1	Condition of Sample: 0)
	Remarks:
Shipping Details	
Packed By-Jose Pichardo Jon Mitchell	
Shipping Date: 10-12-2017 10-13-2017	
10 - 13 - 7077	
Carrier/Airbill Number:	

Sample Handling					
Relinguished By	Company		Received By	Company	Date/Time
			Whorpe	MAS	10-16-17
			1		



 Shipping Package Inspected By:

 1.
 UT
 Date
 10-16-17

 2.
 1.5
 Date
 10-16-17



# **APPENDIX B**

# CDPH, LEED, and CHPS Compliant Products Solar Gard<sup>®</sup>

Qualified Product and Options

Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Window Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive

Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Safety Window Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive\*

Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Window Films with Clear Dry Adhesive

Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> Graffitigard Window Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive

\* Indicates product tested as a representative exemplar of the bracketing of the listed Solar Gard<sup>®</sup> products based on previous emissions testing and a review of the manufacturer's product information. Claims of compliant products are made under the criteria in Section 8 of the CDPH Standard Method and/or Section 3.1 of ANSI/BIFMA X7.1-2011.

Per ANSI/BIFMA and CDPH standards, products must be re-evaluated if significant changes to materials, processes, or the facility occur that affect the eligibility of the products for any credits available under these or other applicable standards. Regardless, the frequency of compliance assessment for ANSI/BIFMA shall not exceed three years. Third-party certification programs may require more frequent compliance testing.



## **APPENDIX C**

### GENERAL TESTING PARAMETERS AND DATA

Under the provisions of the testing method referenced in this report, testing consisted of the following procedural steps:

- Storage of test specimens in original shipping containers prior to emissions testing for up to 10 days in a ventilated and conditioned room maintained at a temperature of  $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and a relative humidity of 50%  $\pm$  15%.
- For quality assurance purposes the emission chamber was purged and the interior thoroughly cleaned prior to all new product tests. Air samples were collected and analyzed from the chamber exhaust prior to loading to establish background levels.
- Collection of air samples at method-specified intervals from the chamber exhaust port utilizing mass flow controllers calibrated at 180 cc/min for VOCs and 150 cc/min for aldehydes.
- Tenax TA<sup>®</sup> tubes are used for VOC analysis which is performed by thermal desorption gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (TD-GC/MS) using a modified EPA TO-17 method. Samples are also collected on DNPH tubes for aldehyde analysis which is performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a modified NIOSH 2016 method. All samples are drawn and analyzed in duplicate.
- Instrument calibration, analysis of quality control samples and quantitation of the CDPH target list of 35 chemicals of concern, and reporting and speciation of top 10 tentatively identified compounds.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Chamber Volume	0.053 m <sup>3</sup>	Area Specific Flow Rate	2.4 m/h
Loading Factor	$0.425 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$	Temperature	23 <u>+</u> 1 °C
Air Exchange Rate	$1.0 \pm 0.05 \text{ h}^{-1}$	Relative Humidity	50 <u>+</u> 5%

The operational parameters for the small-scale emissions chamber used for this project included:

Total volatile organic compounds (TVOC) are defined as the compounds eluting between hexane  $(n-C_5)$  and hexadecane  $(n-C_{17})$  and in this protocol quantified as toluene. The measured concentration and emission factor of TVOC at each of the three sampling intervals is presented in Table C-I.

 Table C-I

 Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC) between n-C5 and n-C17 Measured by GC/MS\*

Sample Interval (hours)	TVOC Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	TVOC Emission Factor (μg/m <sup>2</sup> h)
24	<1.2	<2.9
48	<1.2	<2.9
96	<1.2	<2.9

\*TVOC values are background corrected



Measured concentrations and emission factors of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde at each of the three sampling intervals are presented in Table C-II.

Sample Interval hours	Target Compound	Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Emission Factor (µg/m <sup>2</sup> h)
24	Formaldehyde	<1.4	<3.2
48	Formaldehyde	2.1	4.9
96	Formaldehyde	<1.4	<3.2
24	Acetaldehyde	<1.8	<4.3
48	Acetaldehyde	<1.8	<4.3
96	Acetaldehyde	<1.8	<4.3

 Table C-II

 Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde Concentrations and Emission Factors as Measured by HPLC

No individual volatile organic compounds (IVOC) were identified by GC/MS after 96 hours in concentrations exceeding the detection limits of laboratory instrumentation.